Nurse’s Attitudes and Perceptions Towards Medical Cannabis Legalization in Thailand
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Abstract:
Objective: To determine the attitudes and perceptions among nurses towards legalization of cannabis for medical use in Thailand.
Material and Methods: This anonymous, cross-sectional paper and online survey was conducted from May 2020 to November 2021. Data collection was done using the Cannabis as Medicine: Thai Nurse’s Attitudes and Perceptions Survey. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data.
Results: This study included 287 Thai registered nurses across Thailand. The top 3 positive attitudes and perceptions among the nurses were: 1) currently, most patients use or intend to use cannabis oil, 2) it’s not uncommon if nurses with advanced cancer decide to use cannabis oil, and 3) a patient’s values and beliefs in cannabis oil can them feel better. The top 3 negative attitudes and perceptions were: 1) cannabis reduces tumor growth in advanced cancer stages,
Introduction

Cannabis has been classified in narcotics Category 5 under the Narcotics Act of Thailand since 1979. In 2018, Thailand became the first Southeast Asian country to legalize cannabis for medical purposes for people aged 20 years and above. In 2019, Thailand’s Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) launched a series of pilot medical cannabis clinics which was extended to 1,026 clinics in early 2023 for dispensing traditional Thai and modern medicinal cannabis products to clients. In 2022, Thailand’s MOPH, on behalf of the Thai government, decriminalized cannabis extracts containing tetrahydrocannabinol no more than 0.2 percent by weight. After medical cannabis legalization, there was a growing number of patients using cannabis in addition to or as a substitute for prescription medications. Like medical cannabis users in other nations, Thais used cannabis products to treat medical conditions based on their attitudes, perceptions, or experience regardless of the scientific evidence or prescription instructions.

Currently, medical cannabis is approved to be recommended and prescribed for patients with specific medical conditions by authorized healthcare professionals according to prescription regulations and guidelines of the Thai Government Pharmaceutical Organization and the Food and Drug Administration. Consequently, health professionals who work in Thailand are frequently involved with hospitalized patients using or considering the use of medical cannabis. Adequate validated knowledge can shape proper attitudes and inform best clinical practice about medical cannabis.

In the era of evidence-informed clinical practice, there is limited high-quality evidence to support the efficacy and safety of medical cannabis. Also, most medical cannabis users use unapproved medicinal cannabis products obtained from illegal sources. As a result, various studies have found that Thai healthcare professionals lack confidence to support the use of medicinal cannabis, including concerns regarding its safety and efficacy for users. Other studies reported positive views of medical cannabis treatment among healthcare providers in New Zealand, Austrian university students, Australian and New Zealand health professionals, oncology nurses and oncology physicians.

Attitudes and perceptions of healthcare providers regarding medical cannabis and its users shape the ways they respond and practice when involved in medical cannabis situations. Controversies surrounding the use of medical cannabis by healthcare providers seem to be a common problem in Thailand and around the globe. The successful legalization of medical cannabis requires appropriate perceptions and attitudes among healthcare professionals. Their support of medical cannabis helps its users avoid health risks while realizing its potential therapeutic benefits. One study found that attitudes and
perceptions towards medical cannabis were influenced by
the healthcare provider’s expectations and anticipations of
the safety and effectiveness of cannabis treatment\(^5\). Other
studies reported that healthcare providers’ attitudes and
perceptions towards the medical use of cannabis were
rooted in their subjective, descriptive, group, injunctive, or
social norms and their perceived stigma towards cannabis
and its users\(^4,6,17\). In consequence, medical cannabis
products are often not used under physicians’ prescription
and supervision\(^2\).

A previous study conducted among healthcare
providers and health volunteers in district health systems
in Thailand revealed a low level of perceived knowledge
and negative attitudes towards medical cannabis. More
positive attitudes were found in the health volunteers
who had familiar experiences with cannabis use in the
community than in the healthcare providers\(^19\). In order
to increase adherence to prescriptions, safety, and efficacy
of using cannabis for medical purposes, it is necessary
to examine the understanding of current perceptions and
attitudes regarding medical cannabis from the viewpoints of
healthcare providers who encounter this situation.

To date, no study has examined how these Thai
healthcare professionals are educated and knowledgeable
about medical cannabis after its legalization in Thailand. The
aim of the present study was to explore perceptions and
attitudes toward medical cannabis use among registered
nurses in Thailand.

**Material and Methods**

A descriptive cross-sectional study using paper and
online anonymous questionnaire surveys was conducted
with registered nurses across Thailand.

Data collection was done using the Cannabis as
Medicine: Thai Nurses’ Attitudes and Perceptions Survey
(CAM–TNAPS). The questionnaire was developed and
modified from the Substance Abuse Attitude Survey for
measuring drug attitudes in medical education\(^20\).

The CAM–TNAPS questionnaire consisted of 60
items containing both positive and negative stances. The
respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement for
each item using a 5-point Likert scale. Reverse scoring
was used for negatively worded survey questions. The
scale–content validity index of the CAM–TNAPS was 0.9.
Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was calculated to measure
the internal consistency or the level of agreement of the
questionnaire. The Cronbach’s alpha value of the CAM–
TNAPS was 0.9.

At the time of the study, there were controversies
concerning the common myths and misconceptions
surrounding the medical use of cannabis. The Royal College
of Medical Professionals of Thailand sequentially published
statements raising their concerns about the negative
impacts resulting from greater accessibility to cannabis.
Over and above that, following early 2020, Thai healthcare
professionals and researchers were faced with the new
worldwide COVID–19 pandemic in Thailand. Consequently,
the research team for this study encountered unexpected
difficulties and challenges in recruiting volunteers to
participate in activities unrelated to COVID–19. Finally, a
convenience sampling procedure was undertaken, based
on the willingness of individuals to provide data. A sample
size estimation was not practical in conducting this research
which involved controversial attitudes, beliefs, values, and
biases of individuals or groups in society\(^21–23\).

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from
the Institutional Review Board of the researchers’ institution
(PSU IRB 2020–NL 007). The targeted groups were
recruited via email and a postal invitation after getting
data collection permission from each target setting. The
completion and return of the questionnaires from the
respondents were accepted as evidence of obtaining
consent to participate in this study. The respondents were
then asked to fill out a set of questionnaires including a
demographics survey according to their preferences upon
giving the consent.
An Excel spreadsheet was used to organize the online survey data. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data using frequencies, percentages, and ranges.

**Results**

Only completed questionnaire responses returned from the respondents were included in the analysis. The final sample involved 287 returned questionnaires. However, since the decision to answer or not answer any question was based on the judgement of the respondents, the actual number of responses for each item varied.

In total, the respondents consisted of 9 male and 278 female nursing professionals aged 30–39 years. The highest education degree of the respondents was a bachelor’s degree (77.0%). Concerning job titles, the majority of the respondents were staff nurses at the practitioner level (51.5%). Most respondents had more than ten years of work experience. A large majority of the nurses reported that they did not have any experience or interest in medical cannabis use, training, knowledge, or news. Thirty-seven nurses reported using medical cannabis to treat family members, friends, or intimates.

The respondents’ levels of agreement values given for each item of each domain were analyzed to determine their attitudes and perceptions toward medical cannabis. 287 nurses completed and returned the questionnaires. Table 1 presents the top 5 items with the highest values of attitudes and perceptions, while Table 2 shows the 5 items with the lowest values of attitudes and perceptions. The levels of agreement of each coherent and stable factor were analyzed to determine the items with negative and positive attitudes and perceptions. Tables 3 and 4 show the first 3 items with the highest and the lowest values of agreement for each domain.

**Table 1** Attitudes and perceptions items receiving the highest values of agreement from the registered nurse respondents (N=287)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Currently, most patients use or intend to use cannabis oil.</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>It’s not uncommon if terminally ill cancer nurses make the decision to use medical cannabis products</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Patients’ trust and beliefs towards the efficacy of cannabis oil can make them feel better.</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Cannabis may interact with some medications and may alter their efficacy, or lead to an increased risk of adverse effects.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Medical uses of cannabis should be aligned with the supporting evidence.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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use the same process as approval for any drugs used in the hospital. Twenty-eight respondents required practice standards for medical cannabis administration launched by the hospital.

**Discussion**

In line with previous studies, the results from the treatment intervention domain revealed the respondents in this present study had negative attitudes and perceptions that had developed from scientific knowledge and evidence about medical cannabis\(^{24-35}\). This can be seen by the general agreement received from the respondents against cannabis use in the treatment of refractory or intractable epilepsy in childhood as well as their self-perceived lack of medical cannabis knowledge and their view of medical cannabis education as significant for nurses. They also felt that strong evidence supporting the efficacy and safety of medical cannabis was required for its use in the hospital.

At the time of data collection, it was just the beginning of the free medical cannabis policy in Thailand. Medical cannabis knowledge has not previously been included in the curriculum of Thai nursing programs, Bachelor of Nursing Science programs, or in-service training. Thai nurses in this study, like nurses in other regions reported, lacked sufficient knowledge and clinical experiences in medical cannabis use\(^{4,35}\). In the era of evidence-based nursing, evidence-based practice is cultivated in nursing students before graduation. A lack of evidence to support the benefits and safety of medical cannabis use and lack of sufficient knowledge concerning cannabis could shape negative attitudes and perceptions among nurses toward its use\(^{4,10-11}\).

Negative attitudes and perceptions were also apparent from the respondents’ points of views in both similarities and differences between medical cannabis and other medications. The study results revealed nurses in this study did not view medical cannabis as a medicine. Therefore, the administration of medical cannabis products to patients was not agreed upon concerning the role of nurses and was viewed as unusual as well as not accepted as an alternative therapy. The respondents were more likely to perceive cannabis use for medical purposes the same as for recreational purposes. As shown in Table 3, the negative attitudes and perceptions of the respondents towards medical cannabis in this permissiveness domain were linked and supported by the results of other domains.

Concerning the treatment perspective domain, even though the nurse respondents agreed that cannabis oil is commonly used among Thai patients, they viewed cannabis use as having little to no medical benefits with a greater chance of harmful effects. They expected to see a high number of patients with cannabis poisoning. They also expected a negative impact of legalized medical cannabis on the nursing workload in hospitalized patients. The nurse respondents negatively viewed medical cannabis through the lens of their moral values over aesthetic principles and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Cannabis should be used to decrease metastatic cancer.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Nurses can administer medical cannabis products for patients like other medications.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Nurses like taking care of patients with the non-medicinal use of cannabis.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Cannabis should not be used for intractable epilepsy in childhood due to damage to brain cells.</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Cannabis should be removed from narcotics Category 5.</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Attitudes and perceptions items receiving the lowest values of agreement from the registered nurse respondents (N=287)
Table 3  Items in each domain with the highest values of agreement received from the nurse respondents (N=287)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Treatment intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cannabis may interact with some medications and lead to adverse effects.</td>
<td>283 (98.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cannabis should not be used for intractable epilepsy in childhood due to damage to brain cells.</td>
<td>283 (98.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I don’t know not only which patients are at high risk from medical cannabis use but also its side effects.</td>
<td>264 (92.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Permissiveness</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Patients who use medical cannabis are not under nurses’ responsibility.</td>
<td>266 (96.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Treatment optimism and treatment pessimism</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Most patients use or want to use cannabis oil.</td>
<td>257 (89.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medical cannabis users require increased time from the nurse.</td>
<td>261 (91.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Emergency medical care service for cannabis poisoning will have to be provided for medical cannabis users soon.</td>
<td>221 (77.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Ethical criticism</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurses should not be responsible for patients who develop problems from their own use of medical cannabis.</td>
<td>247 (90.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurses are accused as violating the code of ethics for nurses if they allow patients to use medical cannabis despite its harmful effects on the patients.</td>
<td>210 (73.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Patients asking for a consultation about cannabis use can make a nurse feel uncomfortable.</td>
<td>198 (71.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Items in each domain with the lowest values of agreement received from nurse respondents (N=287)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Stereotypes vs. Open-minded person</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurses like taking care of patients with the non-medicinal use of cannabis.</td>
<td>282 (98.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I never thought I would have to study medical cannabis.</td>
<td>261 (90.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cannabis is always a narcotic with harmful physical, psychological, and social effects.</td>
<td>226 (88.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Treatment intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cannabis should be removed from narcotics Category 5.</td>
<td>278 (96.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cannabis should be legalized for medical use.</td>
<td>274 (95.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cannabis should be used to decrease metastatic cancer.</td>
<td>272 (94.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Permissiveness</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurses can administer medical cannabis products to patients like other medications.</td>
<td>279 (97.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Patients should have the right to use medical cannabis with other prescription medications.</td>
<td>225 (78.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medical cannabis should be included under the national list of essential medicines in Thailand.</td>
<td>203 (70.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Domain: Treatment optimism and treatment pessimism</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Despite the explanations take up a great deal of time, I am confident that medical cannabis users will trust and accept information that is derived from facts.</td>
<td>235 (81.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurses should increase the safety of medical cannabis use by encouraging patients to use it only as recommended.</td>
<td>169 (58.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>It’s not difficult to advise patients regarding the correct use of cannabis oil.</td>
<td>188 (65.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
generalized medical cannabis users rather than being open-minded. The high level of agreement given by the nurse respondents according to their perspectives reflected their ongoing stigmatization or even discrimination, encounter avoidance, and lack of a sense of responsibility towards these patients. These study results are congruent with previous studies. This study demonstrated that the attitudes and perceptions towards medical cannabis from the perspectives of the respondents are informed by the conclusions constructed from individual experiences, values, and social and group norms rather than knowledge and research evidence. It is now generally accepted that the number of medical cannabis users across Thailand has been rising. It is notable that the self-use of off-label medical cannabis products without supervision from medical professionals is not uncommon among Thai patients. Thus, they are at increased risk of adverse effects and harm from the use of medical cannabis.

Like nurses in the global arena, Thai nurses have an obligation to prevent unnecessary harm for patients under their care with no exception for those who use medical cannabis. Nursing practice must be in line with the medical council regulations on medical ethics preservation, B.E. 2549 (2006), which states that medical practitioners must not prescribe, use, or provide support for the use of medicines of unknown effect or off-label. Regardless of their personal values and preferences towards medical cannabis, nurses have an ethical responsibility to provide safe and knowledgeable care for medical cannabis patients. Previous studies highlighted the relationship between healthcare providers’ more positive attitudes and lower stigma towards medical cannabis with a higher intention to give recommendations to patients. This is particularly important because Thai nurse respondents in this present study had a more negative attitude and perceptions towards medical cannabis. This could impede their intentions, awareness, and concerns for doing their best practice to enhance medical benefits and decrease potential harms from the use of medical cannabis.

According to nursing care guidelines for patients using medical marijuana, essential and relevant medical cannabis knowledge, principles, guidelines, rules, and regulations are required for nurses who encounter or are expected to encounter patients who use or are interested in using cannabis for medical purposes. In the early phase of the free medical cannabis law in Thailand, Thai nurses, as well as other healthcare providers, have received no medical cannabis education, training, and guidelines. Mismatches or gaps between patients’ needs and nurses’ responses to patients’ needs could be noticed here. Moreover, nurses might develop conflicts between responses to or respecting patients’ needs or wishes for medical cannabis use and against its usage according to the principle of do no harm. Consequently, a poor nurse–patient relationship could arise. The results of this study support the significance of understanding current attitudes and perceptions of nurses towards medical cannabis use in a particular practice setting prior to developing appropriate education and training programs to better accommodate its users to attain efficacy and safety in the use of medical cannabis.

Strengths and limitations
The attitudes and perceptions towards medical cannabis in this study were determined using questionnaires modified from the Substance Abuse Attitude Survey for measuring drug attitudes in medical education. The results represent all aspects measured using these variables from healthcare providers’ perspectives surrounding medical cannabis in a Thai context. The results provide information that shows room for improvement to enhance the benefits and safety of medical cannabis.

A low response rate with a small and homogenous sample inhibits the generalization of the results. Overcoming
the struggles and challenges in conducting research involving controversial and sociopolitical conflicts during the many waves of the dreadful COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand was an invaluable experience for the researchers.

**Conclusion**

Despite the legalization of cannabis for medicinal purposes in Thailand, negative attitudes and perceptions exist among nurses. There are some important factors the nurse respondents used to evaluate medical cannabis situations including their experiences, moral and ethical values, and social and group norms. Negative attitudes and perceptions led them to form particular response patterns or behaviors when they were involved in situations relevant to medical cannabis regardless of facts or evidence or ethical responsibilities. Future studies should focus on the need for medical cannabis education, which is one of the vital strategies to enhance their appropriate attitudes and perceptions towards medical cannabis.
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